Can Aerobic and Anaerobic Power Be Measured in a 60-Second Maximal Test for Canoe-Kayak Competitors?
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Abstract

The primary objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of measuring both aerobic and anaerobic power in a 60-second, maximal effort test. It was hypothesized that oxygen consumption increases rapidly during maximal effort and maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂ max) may be reached in one minute. Fifteen Greek competitive kayakers performed the following tests: 1) practice 60-second maximal exertion test; 2) standard incremental workload VO₂ max test; 3) Wingate anaerobic power test (WAT); 4) VO₂ measured during 60-second maximal exertion test (60-SEC); and 5) VO₂ measured during 75-second maximal exertion test (75-SEC). All tests were performed on a wind-braked kayak ergometer. Hydrostatic weighing was performed to determine percent body fat. Peak oxygen consumption values for the 60-SEC (53.4 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, 92% VO₂ max), and 75-SEC (52.6 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, 91% VO₂ max) tests were significantly lower than VO₂ max (58.1 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). During the 75-SEC test, there was no significant difference in percentage VO₂ max from 30 seconds to 75 seconds, demonstrating a plateau effect. There were no significant differences in peak power or relative peak power between the Wingate, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests while, as expected, mean power, relative mean power, and fatigue index were significantly different between these tests. Power measures were highly correlated among all three tests. It was concluded that VO₂ max was not attained during either the 60-SEC nor 75-SEC tests. Furthermore, high correlations in power output for WAT, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC precludes the necessity for anaerobic tests longer than the 30-second WAT.
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Introduction

Measurement of maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂ max) has long been accepted as the "gold standard" in the assessment of cardio-respiratory fitness. It has been shown to be inversely related (especially in heterogenous competitors) to performance time in endurance cycling events (5, 16). It has also been demonstrated to be associated with recovery time in intermittent events of high intensity, attenuating the decline in performance due to fatigue (8). Maximal oxygen consumption has traditionally been measured utilizing an incremental, continuous or discontinuous protocol performed to volitional fatigue. It is generally accepted that a plateau in oxygen consumption with increasing exercise intensity indicates attainment of true VO₂ max, although this plateau is not always observed.

Recent research has attempted to determine if VO₂ max can be attained utilizing a supramaximal workload for a prescribed length of time in which the subject fatigues and work output decreases. While
attainment of VO\textsubscript{2} max in 60 seconds has been reported (27), others have found a rapid increase in oxygen consumption, but failure to attain VO\textsubscript{2} max values attained during an incremental exercise test to exhaustion (11).

A variety of test modes have been utilized to measure anaerobic capacity, including running (18, 22, 26), stair climbing, and cycling (6, 11, 12, 27, 36). However, the test most frequently cited as the standard in assessment of anaerobic capacity is the 30-second Wingate Anaerobic Power Test (WAT) (3, 25, 30). The Wingate test has proven to be highly reliable (3, 24) and to correlate well with running tests of anaerobic power (3, 24, 26). Testing of anaerobic power utilizing kayak ergometry has the advantage of 1) continuous power assessment throughout the test and 2) gas analysis measurement in a stationary subject. Previously, several investigators have proposed that the duration of an anaerobic capacity test should be longer than 30 seconds because the maximal accumulated oxygen deficit continues to increase after 30 seconds (11, 12, 15, 20, 32, 35).

This deficit is defined as the difference between oxygen consumption predicted from a linear extrapolation from submaximal to supramaximal workloads and the actual measured oxygen consumption (19). While several investigators have supported the validity of using this measure in the assessment of anaerobic capacity (11, 12, 21, 26), others have refuted it (2, 13, 36). This is due to either a non-linear increase in O\textsubscript{2} consumption above the anaerobic threshold (2) or the failure of this technique to distinguish endurance-trained athletes from strength-trained athletes (36). This latter point has been refuted by Scott et al. (1991), who found a significant difference in maximal accumulated oxygen debt between middle-distance and long-distance runners.

The ideal duration of a maximal effort test to assess anaerobic capacity via the maximal accumulated oxygen deficit (MAOD) it may range from 1 to 2 minutes, although 80% of the maximal accumulated oxygen deficit can be attained in the first 30 seconds of a maximal effort test (12).

The primary objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of a one-minute maximal effort test (60 SEC) for assessing both aerobic and anaerobic power. A 75 second maximal effort test (75-SEC) was also included in the research protocol in the event that the 60-SEC test was of insufficient duration to attain VO\textsubscript{2} max.

While assessment of maximal accumulated oxygen deficit and the determination of optimal test duration for measuring anaerobic capacity were beyond the scope of this study, previous research supports the use of 60-second, maximal effort test, rather than a 30-second test for assessment of anaerobic capacity (11, 12, 21, 26, 32, 35).

Given that previous research has supported a very rapid increase in oxygen consumption, perhaps attaining VO\textsubscript{2} max in 60 seconds (11, 27), and that anaerobic capacity may best be measured by a 60-second rather than 30-second, maximal effort test (12, 15, 20, 32, 35), this study was designed to determine if both aerobic and anaerobic capacity could be measured with a single, maximal effort test of 60 seconds. The results of this study may, in effect, eliminate the necessity of subjects performing two independent maximal effort tests, thereby reducing both costs and the necessity of two exhaustive, fatiguing exercise tests.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Participants**

The physical characteristics of the subjects are listed in Table 1. Participants (N=13, men and N=2, women; mean ± SD for age = 33.2 ± 8.6 years) were Greek kayak competitors members of the national team who were in the midst of their competitive season at the time of data collection. All competitors had considerable previous experience and competed at national and international level at least 8 yrs, practicing regularly an average of 10 months per year, 6 d·wk\textsuperscript{-1} for a minimum of 3 h·d\textsuperscript{-1}. Due to the small number of female participants, data were analyzed for total subjects only. The Institutional Human Subjects Review Board approved the experimental design, the study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki protocol, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. None was on medication and reported no injuries.

**Table 1. Descriptive measures for study participants. Data are means (SD).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (N = 13)</th>
<th>Women (N = 2)</th>
<th>Total (N = 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>32.9 (8.7)</td>
<td>35.0 (7.7)</td>
<td>33.2 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>179 (8)</td>
<td>165 (6)</td>
<td>177 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>78 (10.2)</td>
<td>57 (8.5)</td>
<td>75 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (kg)</td>
<td>11.2 (4.3)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.4)</td>
<td>10.6 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM (kg)</td>
<td>66.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>50.2 (4.6)</td>
<td>64.6 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% body fat</td>
<td>13.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>12.0 (5.8)</td>
<td>13.4 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FM = Fat mass, LBM = Lean body mass.

\(\dagger\) Determined by hydrostatic weighing.
Study Protocol

Each participant made three visits to the Laboratory of Aquatics at the Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Science of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. During visit one, subjects completed a brief training and racing history form, read and signed the consent form, and performed the 60-second maximal effort test to familiarize the subject to the test (FAM). The purpose of FAM was to negate any possible learning effects associated with performance of maximal effort tests (17). Procedures for this test were identical to the 60-SEC test described below. The second visit included an incremental exercise test to measure VO₂ max, the Wingate Anaerobic Test to assess anaerobic capacity, and underwater weighing for the measurement of fat mass, lean body mass, and percent body fat. The protocol used to assess VO₂ max consisted of one-minute, 25-watt increments in power output to exhaustion, beginning at 25 watts. During visit three, participants completed both the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests in random order. All maximal exertion tests were separated by a one-hour rest period. For each participant, tests were completed at the same time of day and within a one-week period.

Maximal Exertion Tests

Tests were performed on a wind-braked kayak ergometer (K1 Ergo, Garran, Australia). Subjects adjusted seat and handlebar to their specifications. Gas analysis was performed with the Medical Graphics CPX-D Metabolic Measurement System (Medical Graphic Corp., St. Paul, Minnesota), which was calibrated for volume, room temperature and pressure, and gas concentration (12% O₂, 5% CO₂) prior to each test. Breath-by-breath analysis with 30-second averaging was done for the incremental VO₂ max test. For both the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests, breath-by-breath analysis and 3-second averaging was also performed. The incremental VO₂ max test began at 25 watts and increased 25 watts per minute to volitional fatigue. All subjects met at least two of the following three criteria for attainment of VO₂ max: 1) attainment of 90% age-predicted maximum heart rate; 2) plateauing of oxygen consumption (<150 ml·min⁻¹ difference in oxygen consumption for the final two stages; and 3) respiratory quotient (RQ) greater than 1.1. Maximal oxygen consumption was determined to be the highest VO₂ attained during a 30-second interval. Determination of optimal resistance for assessment of both peak power and mean power for the 60-SEC and the 75-SEC tests was based on previous research (10) and were set at .075 g·kg⁻¹ for men and .065 g·kg⁻¹ for women, respectively. Mean values for resistance, for the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests were 58.5 and 57.8 Newton-meters (N·m), respectively (N = 15). Resistance for the Wingate Test was standardized according to software manufacturer recommendations (male torque factor = .8, female torque factor = .77) and resulted in a mean value of 60.6 N·m. Differences in torque for the three tests were relatively small but significant (p < .05). Heart rates (Polar Electro, Woodbury, New York) were measured the last 5 seconds of each stage and at volitional fatigue for the incremental exercise test and at the completion of the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests.

Body Composition

Body composition was determined from hydrostatic weighing. The method for hydrostatic weighing has been previously described (9). Residual volumes for both men and women were predicted from sex-specific formulas according to methods previously determined by Weidman et al. (1987). Percent body fat was predicted from body density by the Siri equation (28). Fat mass was calculated by multiplying percent body fat by body weight. Lean body mass was determined by subtracting FM from body weight.

Data Analysis

The physical characteristics of the subjects and their performance data are presented as means (SD). Differences in oxygen consumption values between the incremental VO₂ max, 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests were evaluated using Analysis of Variance with repeated measures. Differences between the Wingate, 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests for the various power output measures assessed were evaluated using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). When significant differences were found for Analysis of Variance, post-hoc t-tests were performed using a Bonferroni correction factor. The relationships between power output values attained during the VO₂ max, 30-sec. Wingate test, 60- and 75-SEC tests were evaluated using the Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient. Statistical significance was accepted at the p < .05 level.

RESULTS

Aerobic Power

Exertional measures for the incremental VO₂ max, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests are shown in Table 2. Mean VO₂ max for the incremental test (58.1 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) was significantly higher than mean VO₂ max values obtained during the 60-SEC (53.4 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, p < .0001, t=6.44) and 75-SEC (52.6 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, p < .0001, t=5.53) tests. There was no significant difference between the mean oxygen consumption attained during the 60- and 75-SEC tests (p = .407, t=0.85). While there was no significant difference in oxygen consumption values for the 75-SEC test from 30 seconds (87.0%) to 75 seconds (91.0%), the data indicate a slight increase in oxygen consumption values during this time period (Table 3).
Table 2. Exertional Measures (Mean ± SD) for the Incremental VO₂ max, 60-SEC and 75-SEC Tests. Data are means (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VO₂ max test</th>
<th>60-SEC test</th>
<th>75-SEC test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximal heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>190 (10)</td>
<td>181 (11)*</td>
<td>178 (12)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted maximal heart rate (%)</td>
<td>101.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>95.3 (5.8)</td>
<td>93.7 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ max (ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>58.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>53.4 (4.7)*</td>
<td>52.6 (5.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal Ventilation (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>167.4 (25.9)</td>
<td>162.6 (27.5)</td>
<td>164.9 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal CO₂ Production (ml·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>5286 (656)</td>
<td>5840 (833)*</td>
<td>5549 (793)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal respiratory quotient</td>
<td>1.24 (.06)</td>
<td>1.47 (.09)*</td>
<td>1.45 (.07)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal watts (W)</td>
<td>388(42)</td>
<td>1107 (212)*</td>
<td>1100 (198)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes p < .05 compared to VO₂ max test

Table 3. Oxygen kinetics for the 75-SEC test showing O₂ consumption at 15sec intervals expressed as a percentage of VO₂ max. Data are means (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>% VO₂ max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15sec</td>
<td>72.3 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30sec</td>
<td>87.0 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45sec</td>
<td>89.5 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60sec</td>
<td>90.7 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75sec</td>
<td>91.0 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant difference from 30sec to 75sec.

Anaerobic Power

Mean peak power (PP) (p = 0.01), but not mean of the mean power (MP) (p = 0.53) was significantly greater for 60-SEC than FAM, supporting the presence of a learning curve in producing maximal power and justifying the use of a familiarization session prior to actual data collection (17). Several indices of power output determined from the Wingate, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests are shown in Table 4. As expected, mean power, relative mean power, and minimum power were all significantly greater for the Wingate test compared to the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests. Conversely, the fatigue index was significantly lower for the Wingate compared to the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests. No significant differences were observed for peak power between the three tests. For each index of power, there was a high degree of co-linearity between the Wingate, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests (Table 5).

Table 4. Power outputs for the Wingate, 60 SEC, and 75 SEC tests. Data are means (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wingate</th>
<th>60-SEC Test</th>
<th>75-SEC Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Power (Watts)</td>
<td>1118 (201)</td>
<td>1107(212)</td>
<td>1100 (198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Peak Power (W·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>14.5 (2.0)*</td>
<td>14.8 (1.9)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Power (Watts)</td>
<td>720 (97)*</td>
<td>552 (76)</td>
<td>500 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Mean Power (W·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.7)*</td>
<td>7.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Power (Watts)</td>
<td>485 (73.0)*</td>
<td>320 (48)</td>
<td>288 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue Index (FI) (%)</td>
<td>55.7 (7.7)*</td>
<td>70.6 (4.9)</td>
<td>73.3 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Wingate significantly different (p < .05) from the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests.

Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between the Wingate, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>Relative PP</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>Relative MP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wingate vs. 60-SEC</td>
<td>.82***</td>
<td>.90***</td>
<td>.81***</td>
<td>.83***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wingate vs. 75-SEC</td>
<td>.80***</td>
<td>.92****</td>
<td>.74*</td>
<td>.84***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 SEC vs. 75-SEC</td>
<td>.97****</td>
<td>.93****</td>
<td>.97****</td>
<td>.97****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PP = Peak Power, MP = Mean Power.

*, *** and **** denotes p < .05, .001 and .0001, respectively.

The relationship between aerobic power (VO₂ max) and the various indices of power output assessed during the Wingate, 60-SEC, and 75-SEC tests were small and not statistically significant, with the exceptions of...
relative mean power for 60-SEC ($r = .52$) and 75-SEC ($r = .53$). While these correlation coefficients were significant, they are relatively low, and probably of little practical value.

**DISCUSSION**

The major finding of this study was that 60 and 75 seconds of maximal kayaking effort achieved only 92% and 91%, respectively, of VO$_2$ max attained during traditional, incremental VO$_2$ max testing. It should be noted that while 91% VO$_2$ max was attained in 75 seconds, 87% VO$_2$ max was attained in just 30 seconds. This demonstrates an initial rapid increase in VO$_2$, which quickly plateaus with increasing duration. These results are: 1) nearly identical to those obtained by some investigators (10, 35), 2) somewhat higher than those obtained by Withers et al. (1993) and 3) somewhat lower than those obtained by Serresse et al. (1988), who reported the attainment of VO$_2$ max in 60 seconds in trained cross-country skiers, biathletes, and speed skaters using cycle ergometry. Others have contended that at least 2 minutes of supramaximal exercise are needed to attain VO$_2$ max (1).

There are several potential reasons, which may explain the failure to achieve VO$_2$ max in 60 or 75 seconds observed in the present study. The following discussion is speculative in nature and offers several possible explanations for these findings. Rapid accumulation of hydrogen ions in muscle, resulting in acidosis, may have precluded the attainment of VO$_2$ max (14). The highest recorded blood lactate levels (32 mM·L$^{-1}$) have been found in well-trained athletes during recovery from competitive events lasting approximately one minute (23), which is comparable to the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests used in the present study. Lower cardiac outputs may have been achieved during the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests, contributing to the failure to achieve VO$_2$ max. While cardiac output was not assessed in the present study, it would be predicted to be lower due to the lower maximal heart rates achieved during the 60-SEC and 75-SEC tests compared to the incremental VO$_2$ max test (Table 2). It should be noted that the percentage VO$_2$ max attained during the 60-SEC (92%) and 75-SEC (91.0%) tests were similar to the percentage maximal heart rates achieved during these tests (96% and 94%, respectively, Table 2).

Arterial oxygen desaturation may have occurred due to respiratory fatigue from prolonged hyperventilation, which would preclude the attainment of VO$_2$ max. Hyperventilation has been shown to increase the ratio of dead space to tidal volume (31). During the 75-SEC test, with the exception of a significant decrease in ventilation from 60 seconds to 75 seconds (164.5 vs. 159.5 Liters per minute), no significant differences in tidal volume, respiratory rate, and ventilation were observed from 45 seconds to 75 seconds, indicating a plateau in these variables for the last 30 seconds of the test. A significant drop in ventilation from 60 to 75 seconds may indicate respiratory fatigue. While fatigue of the respiratory muscles has been controversial, those studies examining respiratory fatigue during incremental exercise have concluded that "the high levels of ventilation observed during maximal exercise are not maintained for sufficient time to result in mechanical failure" (37).

Maximal ventilation was maintained considerably longer in this study, as determined by attainment of maximal ventilation at 30 seconds of the 75-SEC test. Ventilations at 30, 45, 60, and 75 seconds of the 75-SEC test were not significantly different from the maximum ventilation achieved during the VO$_2$ max test. However, tidal volumes were significantly less ($p < .05$) and respiratory rates were significantly greater ($p < .05$) for all of these intervals during the 75-SEC test, compared to maximal values achieved during the VO$_2$ max test. This hyperventilation during the 75-SEC test would explain the rapid and significant ($p < .05$) increase seen in end-tidal oxygen fraction (15.5%, 17.1%, 16.7%, and 16.5% at 0, 30, 60 and 75 seconds, respectively). These responses may reflect gas exchange conditions that possibly resulted in a degree of oxygen-hemoglobin desaturation and a decreased delivery of oxygen to working muscle. While hemoglobin desaturation has been reported to occur in a majority of well-trained athletes (7, 29, 34), these studies used either steady state exercise at submaximal intensity, or incremental exercise to exhaustion, in contrast to the supramaximal intensity of the present study.

The reasons for the discrepancies between the results of the present study and those of Serresse et al. (1988), who reported the attainment of VO$_2$ max in 60 seconds, remain uncertain. Differing results may be due to differences in testing protocol. For instance, Serresse et al. stated that "differences may be explained... by pacing during the first 20-30 sec of the 90-sec test." This is in contrast to the present study, which incorporated a maximal effort applied immediately at the beginning of the 60-SEC and 75-SEC test. Pacing may have allowed for a gradual increase in VO$_2$ that would simulate an incremental protocol.

The negative correlations obtained for absolute peak power and absolute mean power, when compared to VO$_2$ max, is not surprising, given that the subjects in this study were endurance-trained kayakers. However, these negative correlations become slightly positive when expressed as relative peak power and relative mean power. This may best be explained by the fact that body weight is included in both variables (VO$_2$ max expressed as ml/kg·min$^{-1}$ and power as watts·kg$^{-1}$). Correlation coefficients of .629 and .764 were obtained for comparisons of weight to peak power and weight to mean power, respectively in this group of subjects.